PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 664628	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below						
International application No. PCT/JP2004/009621	International filing date (day/month/year) 30 June 2004 (30.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 July 2003 (29.07.2003)						
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237								
Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION								

· 2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.								
۷.	•								
		rence to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:									
	Box No. I	Basis of the report							
	Box No. II	Priority							
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention							
. •	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited							
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application							
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application							
4.	The International Rureau will o	communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but							
•		makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority							
	. (

Authorized officer

Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90

Yoshiko Kuwahara

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 664628 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/JP2004/009621 30.06.2004 29.07.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant KYOCERA CORPORATION This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP Authorized officer Telephone No. Facsimile No.

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009621

Box	No. I	I	Basis of this opi	nion							
1.			o the language, t therwise indicate			blished on the	basis of the i	nternational a	application i	in the language i	n which it was
		This opi	inion has been es	tablished on the	basis of a	a translation fr	om the origin	al language in	nto the follo	wing language	
	_	-								of international	search (under
		Rule 12	.3 and 23.1(b)).			•	,				,
2.		h regard 1	to any nucleotid s opinion has bee				losed in the i	nternational	application	and necessary	to the claimed
	a.	type of	-								•
	u.		sequence listing			•	•				•
		\equiv	ble(s) related to t	he sequence lis	ting	•					
	b.		of material	ik sequence							
	υ.	_	written format							•	
		=	computer readab	ale form							
			-	ne torm			•	•			
	C.		filing/furnishing	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	lisation no	et.a		•			
		\equiv	entained in the int								
		=	ed together with			_		rm. ·			
		L tu	rnished subseque	ently to this Aut	hority for	the purposes of	of search.			,	•
3.		furnishe	ion, in the case to d, the required st does not go beyo	tatements that t	he informa	ation in the su	bsequent or a	dditional cop			
4.	Add	litional co	mments:								
								-			
		•				•					
										•	
			•	•							•
							• • •				

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009621

Statement			-
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-14	YES
	Claims		- NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	3, 13	YES
	Claims	1, 2, 4-12, 14	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-14	YES
	Claims		·NO
	Statement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Claims	Statement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Claims 1 - 1 4 Claims 1 - 1 4

2. Citations and explanations:

Document 1: JP 2001-181042 A (Kyocera Corporation) 3 July 2001

Document 2: JP 2003-86475 A (Kyocera Corporation) 30 March 2003

Document 3: JP 2002-362966 A (Nihon Ceratec Co., Ltd.) 18 December 2002

Document 1 cited in the international search report describes a high-density yttrium sintered body containing sintering additive such as Si, Al, and the like on the ppm order such that corrosion resistance is not affected as a component with excellent plasma resistance. In addition, document 2 states that in a material containing yttrium, sintering by using a gentle temperature increase curve and reducing temperature variations in the manufactured product results in superior compactness and high strength.

This being the case, this examination finds that persons skilled in the art can easily manufacture the sintered body described in document 1 using the sintering method described in document 2, and in that process using a firing jig with a higher melting point than the firing temperature is merely a conventional means.

Therefore, the inventions of this application (claims 1, 2, 4-12, and 14) lack an inventive step.

Furthermore, document 3 cited in the international search report describes a plasma resistant yttrium sintered body containing 5 wt.% or more MgO.

However, no publicly available document describes or suggests including AEO (AE = Ca, Mg, Sr, or Ba) in a yttrium sintered body on the ppm order.

Therefore, the inventions of this application (claims 3 and 13) are novel and involve an inventive step.

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/009621 Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted: The term "chimitsushitsu (expressed by a combination of Chinese characters)" on page 24 of the specification is a typographical error for "chimitsushitsu (combination of Chinese characters for "fine").

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/009621

Вох №. УПІ

Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

1) It is explained that the inventions of this application obtain the specified mechanical strength and corrosion resistance by placing a numerical restriction on the difference between the mean crystal particle diameter at the surface and deep within the sintered body at "30 μ m" or less and minimizing the internal stress within the sintered body.

However, it is highly unlikely, for example, that a case in which the mean crystal particle diameters of the two are 40 μ m and 10 μ m and a case in which they are 200 μ m and 170 μ m will result in equivalent performance of the sintered body.

In other words, this examination finds that for this numerical restriction, if the mean crystal particle diameters are not clearly stated, it is impossible to grasp their technical significance.

However, the specification of this application provides no concrete explication whatsoever concerning the mean crystal particle diameters at the surface and deep within the sintered body.

2) The specification of this application only explains the negative effect of having Si, Fe, and Al mixed into the sintered body (page 11).

Therefore, the reason for intentionally including these ingredients is unclear.